CITY OF SHELBYVILLE



Allan Henderson Deputy Director

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

MEETING DATE: 3/28/2023

MEETING DATE: 3/28/2023				
Case #:	BZA 2023-4: 336 East Pennsylvania Street, DSV			
Petitioner's Name:	Maria Cruz			
Owner's Name:	Maria Cruz			
Petitioner's Representative:	Maria Cruz			
Address of Property:	336 East Pennsylvania Street, Shelbyville, IN			
Subject Property Zoning Classification:	R1 – Single-family Residential			
Comprehensive Future Land use:	Single-family Residential			
	North	East	South	West
Surrounding Properties'	IG – General	R1 – Single-family	R1 – Single-family	R1 – Single-family
Zoning Classifications:	Industrial	Residential	Residential	Residential
Surrounding Properties' Comprehensive Future Land Use	General Industrial	Single-family Residential	Single-family Residential	Single-family Residential
History:	This property is a single-family residence in an established residential area of the city. Lots in this area of the city are smaller, 336 East Pennsylvania is 25x87. An alley is used to access garages and off-street parking areas.			
Vicinity Map:	23.0 24.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3	PEUNSYLVAULASET	600 600 600 FEO	PENNSYEVA
Action Requested:	A request for one Development Standards Variance from UDO 5.55(F)(1), Minimum Side Yard Setback.			

1. The petitioner would like to build a carport behind the house to serve as a garage. In all R1 – Single-Family Residential districts, minimum side yard setbacks for accessory structures is four (4) feet. The proposed carport was designed at 17'x24', but when constructed was built to 18' x 24'. Since the lot is only twenty-five (25) feet wide, the petitioner does not have the required four (4) feet of sideyard setback on the west side. In order for the carport to remain the petitioner would need to reduce the side yard setback to three (3) feet.

BZA 2023-04. A Variance From UDO 5.55(F)(1), Setback Standards

Finding of Fact #1 – Explain why granting the request for a development standard variance will not be harmful to the public health, safety and general welfare of the City of Shelbyville.

Applicant provided the following response to Finding of Fact #1: "Because the project is built with material that is not harmful to health. It was built under safe standards."

The planning staff has determined that the requested variance from the setback standards for the side yard should not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, or general welfare of the community. The planning staff believes the reduction in setback is in keeping with the character of this residential area and is in line with other properties in the neighborhood.

Finding #1 has been satisfied by the petitioner

Finding of Fact #2 – Explain why the development standard variance request will not affect the use and value of adjacent properties.

Applicant provided the following response to Finding of Fact #2: "Because the property does not invade the properties of neighbors."

The planning staff has determined that the requested variance from the setback standards should not have a substantially adverse affect on the use and value of the adjacent areas. The surrounding properties are all single family residential properties, most with no garages and parking pads with alley access behind the homes. The scale of the carport will be in keeping with the massing and character of the neighborhood.

Finding #2 has been satisfied by the petitioner

Finding of Fact #3 – Please state the difficulties that will be faced if the project is not granted the requested development standard variance. The practical difficulty shall not be self-imposed, nor based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.

Applicant provided the following response to Finding of Fact #3: "Obviously the roof was built based on the need for which everyone builds a roof to protect their cars. The factory behind throws a lot of waste that stains the cars."

The planning staff has determined that the strict application of the terms of the ordinance will result in a practical difficulty that is neither self-imposed nor based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on economic gain. Due to the compact and dense nature of the neighborhood, it would be incredibly

difficult for homeowners to construct accessory structures that are practical to use and meet their needs.

Finding #3 has been satisfied by the petitioner

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: APPROVAL

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE: BZA 2023-04: 336 East Pennsylvania Street, DSV FINDINGS OF FACT BY THE SHELBYVILLE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

Staff Prepared

		Motion:
		(I) would like to make a motion to approve the requested development standard variance from UDO 5.55(F)(1)
		to allow for a side yard setback of 3 feet in accordance with the plans provided to this board, pursuant to the
		findings of fact presented in the planning staff's report.
1.		The approval of the Development Standards Variance <u>will not</u> be injurious to the public health, safety, morals
		and general welfare of the community.
		The approval of the Development Standards Variance will be injurious to the public health, safety, morals and
		general welfare of the community.
2.		The use and value of the area adjacent to the subject property seeking a Development Standards Variance <u>will</u>
		<u>not</u> be affected in a substantially adverse manner.
		The use and value of the area adjacent to the subject property seeking a Development Standards Variance will
		be affected in a substantially adverse manner.
3.		The strict application of the terms of the ordinance <u>will</u> result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. The practical difficulty shall not be self-imposed, nor based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.
		The strict application of the terms of the ordinance <u>will not</u> result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. The practical difficulty shall not be self-imposed, nor based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.
	Addit	tional conditions imposed by the Board of Zoning Appeals:
	1.	
	2.	
	3.	
She	elbyvi	ille Board of Zoning Appeals
Bv:		Attest:
,		Chairperson / Presiding Officer Adam M. Rude, Secretary



DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE APPLICATIONShelbyville Planning & Building Department
44 West Washington Street
Shelbyville, IN 46176
P: 317.392.5102

For Office Use Only:

Case #: BZA 2023 - 04

Hearing Date: <u>03.28.23</u>

Fees Paid: \$ 100.00

Final Decision:

Approved Denied

1. Applicant	
Name: Havia Croz	Property Owners Information (if different than Applicant)
Address: 336 E Dennsylvania	Name:
6+ shelbyville IN 4617	Address:
Phone Number: (313) 604-3105	
Fax Number:	Phone Number:
Email: Cruzma 2521 @ Hotmai	Fax Number:
· CO	
2.	
Applicant's Attorney/Representative	Project Engineer
Name:	
Address:	Address:
Phone Number:	Phone Number:
Fax Number:	_ Fax Number:
Email:	Email:
2. Decised left and the	
3. Project Information:	
General Location of Property (and address is applicable):	
Current Zoning Classification:	Existing Use:
Number of Requested Variances:	Proposed Use:
Section(s) of the Ordinance Requesting Variance(s) from; including	Article, Section, Subsection, and Page Number:
4. Attachments	
☐ Affidavit and Consent of Property Owner (if applicable)	□Vicinity Map
☐ Proof of Ownership (copy of deed, recent property card)	☐Findings of Fact
☐ Letter of Intent	☐Application Fee
☐Supporting Documents and Exhibits (Optional)	
The undersigned states the above information is true an	and correct on alba in informational bull
Applicant: Maria Cruz	Date: 2 2 127 12023
State of) County of) SS: Subscribed and sworn to before me ti	his,
is a second	
Notar	y Public Printed
Residing in Co	DUNTY. My Commission Evnires

AFFIDAVIT & CONSENT OF PROPERTY OWNER APPLICATION TO THE SHELBYVILLE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

State of Indiana County of Shelby)) SS:						
I,(Name of pr	operty owner)	TER BEING DULY SWORN, DEPOSE AND SAY					
THE FOLLOWING:							
1. That I am th	That I am the owner of real estate located at (Address of affected property)						
2. That I have		opplication made to the Shelbyville Board of Zoning					
	(Name of ap	plicant)					
3. That I have made to the	no objections to and cons Shelbyville Board of Zon	sent to the request(s) described in the Application ing Appeals.					
		Owner's Name (Please print)					
		Owner's Signature					
State of Indiana County of Shelby)) SS:						
Subscribed a	and sworn to before me th	nis,,,,					
	Notary Public	/_Printed					
	ready i abile	Printed					
Residing in	County.	My Commission Expires:					



Please write a brief summary the case you are presenting to the Board of Zoning Appeals. You may submit on this form or on your own letterhead.

At first, permission was requested to boild
the roof covering the entire space of our
Patio, the city did not accept the measure,
alleging that a space of 4 feet must be
left on each side of the property line
alleging that a space of 4 feet must be left on each side of the property line which had to be modified the measure,
the project plan was modified but
in the end the work could not be
Corried out exactly as the plan
indicated exceeding one more foot
because a tree had overiosty been
removed and the ground has a lot
removed and the ground has a lot of roots, but it should be noted that
the agreement was still respected
the agreement was still respected to leave the 4 feet of space on each
side that the city requires



DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE

FINDINGS OF FACT

Petitioner's Name:
The Shelbyville Board of Zoning Appeals must determine that the following criteria have been met in order to approve an application for a Development Standard Variance. Using the lines provided, please explain how your request meets each of these criteria.
1. General Welfare: Explain why granting the request for a development standard variance will not be harmful to the public health, safety and general welfare of the City of Shelbyville. Because the project is built the with material that is not harmful to heal it is built under safe standards.
2. Adjacent Property: Explain why the development standard variance request will not affect the use and the value of adjacent properties. Because the project does not invade the properties of the neighbors.
3. Practical Difficulty: Please state the difficulties that will be faced if the project is not granted the requested development standard variance. Obviously the root was built based on the need for which everyone builts a root to protect their cars the factory behind throws a lot of waste that stains the cars.

Note: For petitions with multiple requested variances, please submit one completed "Findings of Fact" for each requested variance.