### CITY OF SHELBYVILLE

Adam M. Rude Director



Allan Henderson Deputy Director

# BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

**MEETING DATE: 10/11/2022** 

MEETING DATE: 10/11/	2022					
Case #:	BZA 2022-18: 986 East Morris Avenue, DSV					
Petitioner's Name:	Mike & Krista Bowlby					
Owner's Name:	Mike & Krista Bowlby					
Petitioner's	NI / A					
Representative:	N/A					
Address of Property:	986 East Morris Avenue, Shelbyville, Indiana					
Subject Property	P1 Single family Decidential					
Zoning Classification:	R1, Single-family Residential					
Comprehensive Future	Commercial	Commorcial				
Land use:	Commercial					
	North	East	South	West		
Surrounding Properties'	R1 – Single-family	R1 – Single-family	R1 – Single-family	R1 – Single-family		
Zoning Classifications:	Residential	Residential	Residential	Residential		
Surrounding Properties'	Single-family					
Comprehensive Future	Residential	Commercial	Park & Recreation	Commercial		
Land Use						
		e-family residential hon				
History:		y currently has a shelter				
, and the second se		pole barn received a de	velopment standard var	rance to increase the		
	height of the structure	e in July 2015.				
Vicinity Map:			CC	170		
Action Requested:	Accessory Use Standar	lopment standards varia rds to increase the squar 03 General Accessory S y structures.	re footage of an access	ory dwelling unit		

- 1. UDO 5.02 General Accessory Use Standards allows for single-family dwellings used as an accessory to the primary structure to be constructed on the same lot but limit the accessory dwelling structure to 600-squre feet. The petitioner is requesting to build a 2,000 +/- square foot accessory dwelling unit.
- 2. UDO 5.03 General Accessory Structure Standards limit the number of accessory structures to four (4) in the R1 Single-family Residential District. And residents may not have more than two (2) of the same type of accessory structure. The petitioner currently has four (4) accessory structures on the property. The new accessory structure will make for a total of five (5) accessory structures at 986 East Morris Avenue.

\_\_\_\_\_

### Variance From UDO 5.02 General Accessory Use Standards

Finding of Fact #1 – That the approval of the Development Standards Variance will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals and general welfare of the community.

The applicant has provided the following response to Finding of Fact #1: "Our property is 2.5 acres surrounded by trees. This building will sit behind existing structures and not be visible to the neighbors. It will not change the landscape of our neighborhood. It will actually allow us to clean up our property and give it a neater appearance."

The planning staff has determined that the requested development standards variance would not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community. The requested variance is in keeping with the existing character of the lot and surrounding lots.

Finding #1 has been satisfied by the applicant

Finding of Fact #2 – That the use and value of the area adjacent to the subject property seeking a Development Standards Variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner.

The applicant has provided the following response to Finding of Fact #2: "Due to the tree lines – adjacent properties will not have a direct view of the structure."

The planning staff has determined that the requested variance should not have a substantially adverse effect on the adjacent area. As previously mentioned, the property is sufficient in size and is surrounded by a tree row that neighbors will not be able to see the new accessory structure. The surrounding homes, uses and accessory structures are similar in size to the petitioner's property.

#### Finding #2 has been satisfied by the applicant

Finding of Fact #3 – That the strict application of the terms of the ordinance will result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. The practical difficulty shall not be self-imposed, nor based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.

The applicant has provided the following response to Finding of Fact #3: "We have no other means/options to care for my developmentally disabled sister. She requires full time supervision, and this is our only feasible option."

The planning staff has determined that the applicant has a practical difficulty that is neither self-imposed nor financial in nature. Because the existing primary residence is not accessible and is multiple stories, it is not conducive for the residence of a developmentally disabled person. And the only option is to construct a separate accessory dwelling unit.

Finding #3 has been satisfied by the applicant

### Variance From UDO 5.03 General Accessory Structure Standards

Finding of Fact #1 – That the approval of the Development Standards Variance will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals and general welfare of the community.

The applicant has provided the following response to Finding of Fact #1: "Our property is 2.5 acres surrounded by trees. This building will sit behind existing structures and not be visible to the neighbors. It will not change the landscape of our neighborhood. It will actually allow us to clean up our property and give it a neater appearance."

The planning staff has determined that the requested development standards variance would not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community. The property is a large 2.5-acre parcel that is surrounded by trees. The proposed placement of the accessory structure should be well screened.

Finding #1 has been satisfied by the applicant

Finding of Fact #2 – That the use and value of the area adjacent to the subject property seeking a Development Standards Variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner.

The applicant has provided the following response to Finding of Fact #2: "Due to the tree lines – adjacent properties will not have a direct view of the structure."

The planning staff has determined that the requested variance should not have a substantially adverse effect on the adjacent area. As previously mentioned, the property is sufficient in size and is surrounded by a tree row that neighbors will not be able to see the new accessory structure. The surrounding homes, uses and accessory structures are similar in size to the petitioner's property.

#### Finding #2 has been satisfied by the applicant

Finding of Fact #3 – That the strict application of the terms of the ordinance will result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. The practical difficulty shall not be self-imposed, nor based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.

The applicant has provided the following response to Finding of Fact #3: "We have no other means/options to care for my developmentally disabled sister. She requires full time supervision, and this is our only feasible option."

The planning staff has determined that the applicant has a practical difficulty that is neither self-imposed nor financial in nature. Because the existing primary residence is not accessible and is multiple stories, it is not conducive for the residence of a developmentally disabled person. And the only option is to construct a separate accessory dwelling unit.

Finding #3 has been satisfied by the applicant

### STAFF RECOMMENDATION: APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS

1. The proposed accessory structure can only be used as a residence with ancillary uses and this commitment shall be recorded against the property.

### **DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE**: BZA 2022-18: 986 East Morris Avenue, DSV

#### FINDINGS OF FACT BY THE SHELBYVILLE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

### **Staff Prepared**

		Chairperson	Secretary
By:			Attest:
		Shelbyville Board of Zoning Appeals	
	3.		
	2.		
	1.		
Α	dditi	onal conditions imposed by the Board of	Zoning Appeals:
			the ordinance <u>will not</u> result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. The osed, nor based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.
3.			e ordinance <u>will</u> result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. The practical based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.
		in a substantially adverse manner.	
		The use and value of the area adjacent	to the subject property seeking a Development Standards Variance <u>will</u> be affected
		affected in a substantially adverse man	
2.		•	nt to the subject property seeking a Development Standards Variance <b>will not</b> be
		welfare of the community.	dards Variance will be injurious to the public health, safety, morals and general
		welfare of the community.	
1.			dards Variance will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals and general
		staff's report.	
			e, pursuant to the condition and the findings of fact presented in the planning
			of up to square feet and an additional accessory structure to house the
			ove the requested development standard variances from UDO 5.02 and UDO 5.03
		Motion:	



## **DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE APPLICATION**

Shelbyville Planning & Building Department 44 West Washington Street Shelbyville, IN 46176 P: 317.392.5102



SEP 27 2022

For Office Use Only:	
Case #: BZA	
Hearing Date:	
Fees Paid: \$	
Final Decision:	
Approved	Denied

	Approved Denied
1. Applicant	
Name: Mike + Krista Bowlby	Property Owners Information (if different than Applicant)
Address: 986 E Morris Ave	
Shelbyville, IN 46176	
Phone Number: 317-5/2-4339	
Fax Number:	
Email: bowlby Kagmail. com	Email:
100	
2.	
Applicant's Attorney/Representative	Project Engineer
Name: Jason Karmire	
Address: 51 W. Mechanic	
Shelbyville, IN 46176	
Phone Number: 317-398-0909	
Fax Number:	
Email:	Email:
2 Project Information.	
3. Project Information:	/
General Location of Property (and address is applicable): 98	6 E. Morris Ave
Current Zoning Classification:	F : C . U
Current Zoning Classification:	
Number of Requested Variances.	Proposed Use:
Section(s) of the Ordinance Requesting Variance(s) from; including	Addition Continue Colonial Continue Con
UDO 5 0.3 Galacce I	g Article, Section, Subsection, and Page Number:
OFO O.O.D BEHRIAL AT	ccessory Structure STandards
4. Attachments	
☐ Affidavit and Consent of Property Owner (if applicable)	Windowski Adams
	□Vicinity Map
Proof of Ownership (copy of deed, recent property card)	☐ Findings of Fact
Letter of Intent	☐Application Fee
☐Supporting Documents and Exhibits (Optional)	
The undersigned states the above information is true a	nd correct as s/he is informed and helicuse
11 - 1 0 1	nd correct as sine is informed and believes.
Applicant: Kusta & Baculey	Date: 9 - 2 7 - 22
	LISA D. LOVELESS
	AND LIEAD
State of )	SEAL   COONT OF RES.: STILLED
County of SS:	COMM. NO.: NP0723199
Subscribed and sworn to before me	this day of September 10-24-2027
Dern D. Low	elexy Lisa D. Loveless
Nota	ry Public Printed
0	
Residing in Shelley o	County. My Commission Expires: 10 24-27
. isolaling iii	wiy Commission Expires. // 27



## **DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE**

FINDINGS OF FACT

Petitioner's Name: Mike + Krista Rowlby  Location: 986 F. Morris Ave - Shelbybi'lle IN  Variance for: Additional Structure
The Shelbyville Board of Zoning Appeals must determine that the following criteria have been met in order to approve an application for a Development Standard Variance. Using the lines provided, please explain how your request meets each of these criteria.
1. General Welfare: Explain why granting the request for a development standard variance will not be harmful to the public health, safety and general welfare of the City of Shelbyville.  Our property is 2.5 acres. Surrounded by trees.  This building will sit behind existing structures and not be visible to Aciahbers. It will not change the landscape of our neighborhood the will actually allow us to Chon up our property. Explain why the development standard variance request will not affect the use and the value of adjacent properties.  Due to the tree lines adjacent properties.
3. Practical Difficulty: Please state the difficulties that will be faced if the project is not granted the requested development standard variance.  Lee have no other means for trans to care for my developmentally disabled sister. She requires fine supervision and this is our only fasible option.

Note: For petitions with multiple requested variances, please submit one completed "Findings of Fact" for each requested variance.



The purpose of this map is to display the geographic location of a variety of data sources frequently updated from local government and other agencies. Neither WTH Technology nor the agencies providing this data make any warrantly concerning its accuracy or merchantability. And no part of it should be used as a legal description or document. Printed 08/31/2022

Type notes here

Payments General

Bills

Deductions

Assessments

Owner and General Parcel Information

Property Card	Show Property Card
Tax History Data	Show Tax History.
Images	Show Images(2)
Sketches	Show Sketches(1).

https://shelbyin.wthgis.com/tgis/printpreview1.ashx?soid=220831125837611



Please write a brief summary the case you are presenting to the Board of Zoning Appeals. You may submit on this form or on your own letterhead.

We are requesting an additional Structure to be
added to our property at 986 E. Morris Avenue,
- SHE TO VITTE A
I am currently responsible for carring for my developmentall
disabled sister & Leah Root.
Our home, built around 1900, has a single master
bedroom on the main floor. Leah is unable to negotiate
Stairs on her own, or care for herself on a daily basis.
She does not have the mental or physical ability to live
on her own. Our workshop has a small living areas
but is without adequate heating/cooling and skeeping
areas.
Our request is for a variance to build a pole
born style building with a single level handicapped
apartment that will have room of additional storage.
The apartment will cover approximately half the floor
space. The building planned will be 64x64x14'. It
will be located on the North East Corner of our property.
There is adequate room for this property to house this
Structure.
Our plan is to use utilities from our existing