

Allan Henderson Deputy Director

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

MEETING DATE: 2/8/2022

Director

Adam M. Rude

MEETING DATE: 2/8/						
Case #:	BZA 2022-04: 402 Fo	oxridge Court, DSV				
Petitioner's Name:	Charles Marshall					
Owner's Name:	Charles Marshall	Charles Marshall				
Petitioner's	N/A					
Representative:	1 1/ 11					
Address of Property:	402 Foxridge Court, Shelbyville, Indiana					
Subject Property	R 1 Single-Family Re	R 1 Single Family Residential				
Zoning Classification:	R 1, Single-Family Residential					
Comprehensive Future	Single-Family Resider	ntial				
Land use:	<u> </u>	Itiai				
	North	East	South	West		
Surrounding Properties' Zoning Classifications:	R1 – Single Family Residential	No Zoning	No Zoning	R1 – Single Family Residential		
Surrounding Properties' Comprehensive Future Land Use	Single Family Residential	Conservation	Conservation	Single Family Residential		
History:	The property is a single-family residential home located in the Foxridge Subdivision. The subdivision started to build out in the early 1990's, but never fully built out. Recently Imperial Construction Services started building on two lots in the subdivision and five (5) additional lots have been purchased for single-family home construction.					
Vicinity Map:						
Action Requested:	Approval of one development standards variance, from UDO 5.57 Sidewalk Standards to not installed a required sidewalk when a new primary structure is constructed.					

1. In the R 1, Single-Family Residential zoning district, a sidewalk is required to be installed when a new primary structure is constructed or when a primary structure is enlarged by twenty (20) percent or greater. Sidewalks are also required to be installed in front of any lot created after the effective date of the Unified Development Ordinance once it has sat vacant for twenty-four (24) months (if a single lot was created), or twenty-four (24) months from the date the first certificate of occupancy was issued (if a multiple-lot subdivision) and where infrastructure exists.

Variance From UDO 5.57 Sidewalk Standards

Finding of Fact #1 – That the approval of the Development Standards Variance will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals and general welfare of the community.

The applicant has provided the following response to Finding of Fact #1: "Most of the subdivision has already been constructed without sidewalks and not having them installed on the remaining lots will not affect the existing properties within Foxridge."

The planning staff has determined that the requested development standards variance would not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community. The requested variance is in keeping with the existing character of the neighborhood; when the subdivision was approved and started building in the early 1990's sidewalks were not required and thus not installed.

Finding #1 has been satisfied by the applicant

Finding of Fact #2 – That the use and value of the area adjacent to the subject property seeking a Development Standards Variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner.

The applicant has provided the following response to Finding of Fact #2: "There are currently no sidewalks on those properties and not installing the sidewalks will have no effect as the sidewalks wouldn't be usable and may actually be an eyesore."

The planning staff has determined that the requested variance should not have a substantially adverse effect on the adjacent area. As previously mentioned, none of the surrounding homes have sidewalks because when the subdivision was developed in the early 1990's sidewalks were not required. The entire subdivision was approved without including sidewalks.

Finding #2 has been satisfied by the applicant

Finding of Fact #3 – That the strict application of the terms of the ordinance will result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. The practical difficulty shall not be self-imposed, nor based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.

The applicant has provided the following response to Finding of Fact #3: "The difficulty is one of the aesthetics as well as additional cost of sidewalk that isn't usable. The parcels located in the cul-de-sac only have 5' between the curb and right-of-way. There are utilities in this right-of-way width and there isn't enough room to install the sidewalk correctly."

The planning staff has determined that the applicant has a practical difficulty that is neither self-imposed nor financial in nature. Because the subdivision was approved without the inclusion of sidewalks, utilities have been located in the front yard and right-of-way along the street where sidewalks would normally be constructed. There is a practical difficulty in relocating the utilities that is not self-imposed by the current owner of the properties.

Finding #3 has been satisfied by the applicant

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS

1. The property owner agrees to install a sidewalk if or when an immediately adjacent property owner installs a sidewalk across the front of their property.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS VARIANCE: BZA 2022-04: 402 Foxridge Court, DSV

FINDINGS OF FACT BY THE SHELBYVILLE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

Staff Prepared

Motion:

(I) would like to make a motion to approve the requested development standard variance from UDO 5.57 to not install a sidewalk during the construction of the single-family residence at 402 Foxridge Court pursuant to the condition and the findings of fact presented in the planning staff's report.

- 1. The approval of the Development Standards Variance <u>will not</u> be injurious to the public health, safety, morals and general welfare of the community.
 - The approval of the Development Standards Variance **will** be injurious to the public health, safety, morals and general welfare of the community.
- 2. The use and value of the area adjacent to the subject property seeking a Development Standards Variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner.

The use and value of the area adjacent to the subject property seeking a Development Standards Variance <u>will</u> be affected in a substantially adverse manner.

3. The strict application of the terms of the ordinance <u>will</u> result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. The practical difficulty shall not be self-imposed, nor based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.

The strict application of the terms of the ordinance <u>will not</u> result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. The practical difficulty shall not be self-imposed, nor based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.

Additional conditions imposed by the Board of Zoning Appeals:

1.

2.

۷.

3.

Shelbyville Board of Zoning Appeals

Ву: _____

Attest:

Chairperson

Secretary

SHELBY VILLA POSTIN PROGRESS	Obellou ille Dien Commission	DARD VARIANCE APPLICATION	For Office Use Only Case # Hearing Date: Fees: Approved Denied
Name: <u>Churly</u> Address: <u>4400</u> <u>She Ib</u> Phone Number: <u>3</u>	mpany requesting variance) <u>S</u> Murshall <u>B</u> 100 D wille, <u>TN</u> 46176 7-800-0383 SKimmegmail. com	Phone Number:	
Address:	Representative		
5. Number of Variand	402 Fox Mage Ct 1 Smyle Family res Requested	Existing Use of Property: Ingle	(76 (Lot 21) Family
(Please indicate ALL app	nce Section Number (s) licable Zoning Ordinance Section Nu 5-57 Sidewolk Sc	mbers for your petition; including Article, Section, a	and Page Number)
The undersigned stat Signature of Applican	cl A la	e and correct as (s)he is informed and believ	ves. Z Z
State of Indiana) County of Shelby)SS:	Subscribed and sworn to before Notar Public Residing in	Printed Printed	2022 2022
	Pa	ge 7 of 10 APRY PUS	

4

Page 7 of 10



Jessica Lyn Brown Resident of Rush County My Commission Expires: May 29,2022

AFFIDAVIT & CONSENT OF PROPERTY OWNER APPLICATION TO THE SHELBYVILLE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

STATE OF INDIANA) COUNTY OF SHELBY)SS:

State of Indiana

I, <u>Charles Mushin R</u>AFTER BEING DULY SWORN, DEPOSE AND SAY THE FOLLOWING: (Name of property owner)

1. That I am the owner of real estate located at $\frac{402}{(\text{Address of affected property)}}$

- 3. That I have no objections to and consent to the request(s) described in the Application made to the Shelbyville Board of Zoning Appeals.

<u>Owner's Name (Please print)</u> Owner's Name (Please print)

Signature

County of Shelby)SS	S:	
January, Z	d and sworn to before me this $\frac{71}{22}$	day of
Jus	Notary Public	Sica BOWA Printed
Expires 5-29-20	county	My Commission
	SEAL)	Jessica Lyn Brown Resident of Rush County My Commission Expires: May 29,2022

Page 8 of 10

NDIAN



LETTER OF INTENT BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

Please write a brief summary of the project and the variance(s) requested. You may submit on this form or on your own letterhead.

I own four lots at Foxridge Subdivision. This subdivision was platted, infrastructure built and many of the lots have houses from the '90's. There were nine lots which didn't have houses built and I own four of them. The original subdivision was not designed or constructed to include sidewalks and throughout the subdivision there are electric and telephone boxes, trees, driveways and various items that would be in the way of a comprehensive sidewalk.

The lots that do not have sidewalk are scattered throughout the subdivision with no more than two being adjacent to one another.

I am requesting a variance from the UDO 5.57 sidewalk standard which would require installation of the sidewalk front of each property. This would result in installation of the sidewalks in a almost random fashion throughout the subdivision with very little liklihood that it will ever function in a useful fashion. Instead they would be an eyesore to the community and the owners of these parcels.

Page 9 of 10



DEVELOPMENT STANDARD VARIANCE FINDINGS OF FACT

Petitioner's Name:___Charles Marshall Location:__Foxridge Subdivision Variance for:__USD 5.57 Sidewalk Standards

The Shelbyville Board of Zoning Appeals must determine that the following criteria have been met in order to approve an application for a Development Standard Variance. Using the lines provided, please explain how your request meets each of these criteria.

- 1. General Welfare: Explain why granting the request for a development standard variance will not be harmful to the public health, safety and general welfare of the City of Shelbyville. Most of the subdivision has already been constructed without sidewalks and not having them installed on the remaining lots will not affect the existing properties within Foxridge
- Adjacent Property: Explain why the development standard variance request will not affect the use and the value of adjacent properties.
 There are currently no sidewalks on those properties and not installing the sidewalks will

have no effect as the sidewalks wouldn't be usable and may actually be an eyesore.

3. **Practical Difficulty:** Please state the difficulties that will be faced if the project is not granted the requested development standard variance.

The difficulty is one of aesthetics as well as additional cost of a sidewalk that isn't usable. The parcels located in the cul-de-sac only have 5' between the curb and the right-of-way There are utilities in this right-of-way width and there isn't enough room to install the sidewalk correctly.

